

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

JOHN AND JANE DOES,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:16-cv-02788-JCM-NJK

ORDER

15 On April 3, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file a status report within 30 days. Docket No. 13.
16 Plaintiff did not do so, prompting an order to show cause. Docket No. 20. Plaintiff has now filed a
17 response to the order to show cause. Docket No. 23. Plaintiff's counsel asserts that the non-compliance
18 with the Court's order was derived from further investigation of the issues, the departure of legal staff, and
19 the need to meet other deadlines. *Id.* at 4-5. The Court has reminded counsel on several occasions that the
20 inability to meet a deadline should prompt a request to extend that deadline, not simply allowing the
21 deadline to lapse. *See, e.g., LHF Productions, inc. v. Hatzimarkos*, 2:16-cv-02368-JAD-NJK, Docket No.
22 25 (May 12, 2017). The Court again **WARNS** counsel that he is responsible for meeting all Court
23 deadlines and, to the extent he is unable to do so, he must seek an extension from the Court. The Court
24 expects strict compliance with its orders moving forward, and counsel should not expect mere warnings
25 to continue for non-compliance. *Cf. Lopez v. Colvin*, 2016 WL 5858712, at *3 (D. Nev. Apr. 25, 2016)
26 (asserting that third warning would be the last); *Garcia v. Geico Cas. Co.*, 2014 WL 7474773, at *3-4 (D.
27 Nev. Jan. 6, 2014) (increasing monetary sanctions by 500% from previous sanctions given repetition of
28 conduct).

1 The order to show cause is otherwise **DISCHARGED**.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED

3 Dated: June 2, 2017

4 
5 _____
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28